

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,064	08/17/2001	Satoshi Arakawa	Q63766	2592
7590 08/19/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			LEE, SHUN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/931,064 ARAKAWA, SATOSHI **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** 2878 Shun Lee -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.\infty The a)\property affidavit, b)\property exhibit, or c)\infty request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 15-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☐ Other: CONSTANTINE HANNAHER PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP ART UNIT 2878**

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in response to applicant's arguments (pg. 9-10 of remarks filed 3 August 2004) against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant also argues (pg. 8 of remarks filed 3 August 2004) that the references teach away from their combination since all embodiments of the Hayakawa et al. device require that the phosphor sheet be remove from the container/cassette for stimulation and reading and Torii's primary objective is to conduct image read-out without taking the sheet out of the cassette and cites MPEP § 2145(X)(D)(2). Examiner respectfully disagrees. First it should be noted that disclosed embodiments does not equate to an express exclusion. Further, the proposed modification does not render the prior art unsatisfactory for its intended purpose or change the principle of operation of a reference. Hayakawa et al. disclose (Figs. 3-10) an apparatus for reading a phosphor sheet (i.e., storage phosphor plate 12) in a cassette (9) comprising case half (900), case half (901), cap (907), and rigid tray (904) wherein the intended purpose is readout of the phosphor sheet and the principle of operation is to scan the phosphor sheet (12) with a laser beam (MS in Fig. 25) while the phosphor sheet (12) is mounted to the cap (907) and the rigid tray (904). The intended purpose or the principle of operation of the apparatus of Hayakawa et al. is unchanged when the cassette (9) is modified (in accordance with the teachings of Torii) with a lid having a tab and slidably fitted into a container casing wherein the phosphor sheet (12) is mounted while obtaining the advantages taught by Torii.